AVIAN POLYOMAVIRUS: A RECENT UPDATE
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SUMMARY

Avian polyomavirus disease is among the most common viral diseases of domesticated exotic birds as such in psittacine families. Caused by avian polyomavirus (APV) which possess a circular, double-stranded DNA which encodes for major structural virus protein 1 (VP1) and minor structural proteins VP2, VP3 and VP4, the disease is also known as Budgerigar fledgling disease polyomavirus (BFPyV), Papovavirus, and Psittacine polyomavirus. Infections from APV may lead to cutaneous haemorrhage, abdominal distension, feather abnormalities and even death. The APV virus has a broad avian host range and is known to cause acute chronic disease in several psittacine birds such as parrot, cockatoo, macaw, and budgerigar. The current status of APV epidemiology globally has not been fully recorded. Only the studies of the virus and disease caused within several countries are used as references, and few were done together with detection of beak and feather disease virus. Despite the common occurrence of APV among bird breeders in Malaysia, a very limited study has been done to evaluate the prevalence status of APV in Malaysia. In this review, we wish to disseminate knowledge, particularly to pet owners and bird breeders, on APV characterisations, its updated occurrence worldwide and prevention strategies. This information may be useful to trigger in depth study on the epidemiology of disease and better management practises among breeders.
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INTRODUCTION

Avian polyomavirus disease is among the most common viral diseases of domesticated exotic birds as such in psittacine families. Caused by avian polyomavirus (APV), the disease is also known as Budgerigar fledgling disease polyomavirus (BFPyV), Papovavirus, and Psittacine polyomavirus (Hsu et al., 2006). The infection of this virus is reported globally, and the first case was discovered in young budgerigars (Melopsittacus undulatus) in 1981 (Tomasek et al., 2007). The APV virus has a broad avian host range and is known to cause acute chronic disease in several psittacine birds such as parrot, cockatoo, macaw, and budgerigar. Many incidences of APV linked diseases have been reported in young captive birds with high mortality rates (Parrish, 2011). Even though the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses appointed the virus name as budgerigar fledgling polyomavirus, but due to its wide host range among avian species, it is now called APV (Katoh et al., 2009). The distinct biological and molecular traits of this virus making it being proposed to another different subgenus Avipolyomavirus within the genus Polyomavirus (Johne et al., 2000). The aim of this review is to disseminate knowledge on APV characterisations and its current worldwide occurrence.

Avian Polyomavirus Structure and Genome

APV is a virus under the family of Polyomaviridae and genus Avipolyomavirus. It is an unenveloped virus with icosahedral viral capsid containing circular double-stranded DNA, with a genome size of 4981 bp (Johne et al., 2000; Hsu et al., 2006). The DNA has covalently closed ends and complexed with cellular histones in supercoiled form (Johne and Muller, 2003; Parrish, 2011). The icosahedral viral capsid has T=7 symmetry, with diameter around 40-45 nm and comprised with 72 pentamers. The details on the structure of the polyomavirus particle have been well described using X-ray crystallography (Johne and Muller, 2003).

The APV genomes can be categorized into two regions; an early region which codes for tumour (T) antigen, and late region which encodes for structural proteins (Katoh et al., 2009). Tumour antigen encoded in the early genes of APV is responsible for viral genome replication as well as host cell transformation (Halami et al., 2010). The late region expression is complexed by two putative promoter regions, together with alternative and partial splicing activities (Johne et al., 2000). The genome of APV also contains the non-coding regions or regulatory controls which consist of origin of replication (ori), promoter-enhancer sequences and transcription start site (TSS). Starting from the short non-coding regulatory region, both of the early and late regions are being transcribed bi-directionally (Halami et al., 2010).

The structural proteins encode within the late region are recognised as major structural virus protein 1 (VP1) and minor structural proteins VP2, VP3 and VP4. VP1 is the major capsid protein of Polyomaviridae. It is expressed from VP1 gene of 1032-bp, identified from complete genome of APV at region 1899-2930 (NCBI Accession Number: NC_004764). A capsomer of polyomavirus particle is represented by five molecules of VP1 assembled into a pentametric complex which interacts with either, VP2 and VP3 (Johne and Muller,
Polyomaviruses, an additional ORF is present which upstream of the VP2 coding region in the avian the C-terminal region of V2 (Johne and Muller, 2003). A reading frame (ORF) but with a different start codon (Halami et al., 2010). The VP2 and VP3 are translated from the same open reading frame (ORF) but with a different start codon (Halami et al., 2010). The structure of VP3 is similar to the C-terminal region of V2 (Johne and Muller, 2003). A distinct characteristic of avian polyomaviruses from other groups within the genus is the presence of VP4. In the upstream of the VP2 coding region in the avian polyomaviruses, an additional ORF is present which encodes VP4 (Halami et al., 2010). The VP4 protein with molecular weight of 32 kDa is important for APV replication (Katoh et al., 2009). It also interacts with VP1 and double stranded DNA (Johne and Muller, 2003). A leucine zipper motif has been recognised in VP4, which is known to regulate the DNA binding activity (Johne and Muller, 2003). In addition, the expression of V4 has been linked to the induction of apoptosis of the host cells (Johne et al., 2000; Johne and Muller, 2003). An additional protein consisting of the 125 C-terminal amino acid residues of VP3 has been recognised in simian virus 40 (SV40) of mammalian polyomavirus and named VP4. However, the VP4 in SV40 has no similarities in terms of sequence, structure and biological properties compared to the VP4 of avian polyomaviruses (Daniels et al., 2007).

Phylogenetic Relationships of Polyomavirus

The family Polyomaviridae are categorised as group 1 virus in the Baltimore classification system as they comprise double stranded DNA genomes (Neu et al., 2008). In 2015, taxonomy released by International Committee on Taxonomy of Virus documented 73 species within this family under four genera (Alphapolyomavirus, Betapolyomavirus, Deltapolyomavirus and Gammapolyomavirus). APV is assigned under the genus Gammapolyomavirus or Avipolyomavirus (Johne et al., 2011). Polyomaviridae shares the similar virion structure as Papillomaviridae, comparable genome organization, mechanisms of replication, cell cycle regulation as well as tumour induction (Parrish, 2011). Members of both families undergo replication in nucleus, where Polyomaviridae DNA is transcribed from both strands, while Papillomaviridae DNA is transcribed from only one stand (Johne et al., 2000; Parrish, 2011). Several distinct features have been observed between mammalian polyomaviruses and APV as such in region encoding for large tumour (T) antigen, non-coding regulatory region as well as agnoproteins (Johne et al., 2000).

The family Polyomaviridae consists of different polyomaviruses that infect many species such as humans, monkeys, rats, hamsters, and many species of birds majorly in a host-specific interaction (Halami et al., 2010; Johne et al., 2006). The first member of Polyomaviridae described is the mouse polyomavirus (mPyV) in 1953 on the transmission of mouse leukaemia (Neu et al., 2008). Polyomaviruses are very host specific despite the flexible and wide range detection methods. There was no report of polyomavirus first discovered in an organism and later detected in another, except for APV and SV40. Human polyomaviruses are among the species that have been discovered in depth throughout these recent decades, which include human BK polyomavirus, JC polyomavirus, KI polyomavirus and WU polyomavirus (Johne et al., 2011). Several types of polyomaviruses have been identified to represent polyomaviruses of birds, which are APV, finch polyomavirus (FPyV), crow polyomavirus (CPyV), goose haemorrhagic polyomavirus (GHPyV) and canary polyomavirus (CaPyV) (Guerin et al., 2000; Halami et al., 2010; Johne et al., 2006; Stoll et al., 1993). Based on nucleotide sequence identity of greater than 50%, it has been found out that CaPyV is most closely related to APV and FPyV (Halami et al., 2010).

The phylogenetic, biological and structural data support the groupings of bird polyomaviruses in a separate group under the family Polyomaviridae (Halami et al., 2010; Johne and Muller, 2003). Based on the sequence analysis of genome, it has been found out that avian polyomaviruses segregate independently from the mammalian ones (Parrish, 2011). This indicates a long-standing evolutionary divergence, where the viruses group might end up in different genera in the future.

Symptoms of Avian Polyomavirus Disease

APV is an etiologic agent of a lethal multisystemic disease in different bird species scrutinised around the world (Johne and Muller, 2003). Presumptive diagnosis of APV infection can be deduced according to the history, clinical findings, and histopathologic lesions and characteristic gross (Mamom et al., 2009). Records of natural and experimental infections of APV in budgerigars have shown major pathological symptoms such as hydropericardium, ascites and hepatitis (Halami et al., 2010; Johne and Muller, 2007). APV also induces histologic lesions focal necrosis with enlarged clear basophilic intracellular inclusions in the spleen, liver and many other tissues (Bert et al., 2005; Parrish, 2011). Disease symptoms are associated with increased mortality of the young captive psittacines. APV infections may cause acute lethal death without premonitory signs, unlike mammalian polyomaviruses infections which are usually harmless yet persistent towards the non-immunocompromised hosts (Johne et al., 2000). In most cases, the birds affected with APV were showing temporary clinical warnings such as paleness, lack of appetite, anorexia, subcutaneous haemorrhages and crop stasis before dying suddenly (Parrish, 2011). In critical form, APV disease results in full crops, reddened skin, feather dystrophy, scattered cutaneous haemorrhages, enlarged heart and liver, and eventually acute death (Parrish, 2011).

The incidences of diseases associated with APV have been reported frequently from captive population. Statistical analysis showed that the APV infection in birds from shelters and rescue centres is much higher than in veterinary clinics or in their natural habitats (Dolz et al., 2013). The birds living in captivity have closer proximity to each other, hence the virus could spread much easier.
among the population. The fact that the virus could remain in the faecal for months increase the chance of infection to the newborn hatchlings or recently arrived captives. The number of affected nests raised during the infection to the new-born hatchlings or recently arrived nestlings in captivity between as early as 1 week to 5 months of age were most vulnerable to this virus, having a mortality rate up to 100% (Hsu et al., 2006; Tomasek et al., 2007). APV induced disease is usually characterised by deaths in hand-raised nestlings of non-budgerigar psittacine species (Tomasek et al., 2007). The infected birds could shed the virus in the faeces for up to 6 months (Parrish, 2011). A high prevalence of APV infection and virus shedding were recognised in asymptomatic cockatiels, which lasted for more than 18 months due to possible tolerance of the host to the APV (Tomasek et al., 2007). The avian species that survived from the APV infection during young stage would develop feather ailment as a significant symptom (Johne and Muller, 2007).

The AVP disease may be linked with a milder disease of budgerigars called French moult, which results in chronic disorders of feather formation (Parrish, 2011). Subclinical infection of polyomavirus has been identified in zebra finches (Poephila guttata), kookaburra (Dacelo novaeguineae) and Ross’s turaco (Musophaga rossae) (Parrish, 2011). While some of the birds found to be infected with APV were in grown in captivity, the only species shown to have APV infection in its own natural habitat is the sulphur-crested cockatoo (Cacatua galerita) in Australia (Parrish, 2011).

Status of Avian Polyomavirus Worldwide

The current status of epidemiology of APV all over the world has not been fully recorded. Only the studies of the virus and disease caused within several countries are used as references, and few were done together with detection of beak and feather disease virus (BFDV) (Bert et al., 2004; Dolz et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2006). Clinical diagnosis and molecular analysis such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and rolling circle amplification (RPA) are among the methods used to verify and characterised the presence of this virus. The samples taken were from different sources, either veterinary clinics, captive breeders, rescue centres or shelters.

A total of 269 samples from 19 psittacine species were showing APV infection at the rate of 4.8% (13/269) in Costa Rica between 2005 and 2009 (Dolz et al., 2013). A prevalence of 19.7% of BFDV was detected in the same study, while 3.3% of the birds were found out to be infected with both APV and BFDV disease (Dolz et al., 2013). Based on this study, birds infected with BFDV would have 6.24 times more chance to be infected with APV (Dolz et al., 2013). Within the same duration of years in Poland, a total number of 751 symptom-free birds from 31 genera and 59 species were tested for BFDV and APV (Piasecki and Wieliczko, 2010). Results show that the occurrence of BFDV was 25.3% and APV was 22.2%, a percentage that is enough to spark a concern. However, in Italy, APV was detected in only 0.8% birds from a total of 877 samples (Bert et al., 2004). The samples were collected from 18 Italian psittacine breeding centres and four trade centres for over a 4-year period. High mortality of nestling cockatiels (Nymphicus hollandicus) was studied in a breeding flock of exotic birds in Central Slovakia, showing all nestlings in affected nests of 14 breeding adults died within 1 week of age (Tomasek et al., 2007). However, from a survey in Germany, there was no positive detection of APV infection in 85 symptom-free birds of 20 different psitaformers from 30 breeders all over the country (Rahaus and Wolff, 2004). This indicated that the occurrence of persistent APV infection among the captive psittacine populations in Germany is relatively low.

In South-East Asia region, several surveys have been conducted to screen the epidemiology of APV disease infection. In Taiwan, from a total of 165 psittacine birds belonging to 22 genera, 15.2% were found out to be positive of APV (Hsu et al., 2006). A study done in Thailand showed that 8.1% or 10 over 124 samples were positive to APV via multiplex polymerase chain reaction on captive psittacine samples of 10 genera and 20 species (Fungwitaya et al., 2009). A recent local study in Klang Valley, Malaysia, has shown the presence of APV in six out of 30 pooled samples (20.0%) of psittacine birds from four different breeders (Zanon, 2016).

Vaccine against Avian Polyomavirus

After the discovery of APV, efforts on inventing an effective vaccine against the virus did not end up in success due to the presence of subclinical infections and acutely diseased carrier birds (Ritchie et al., 1998). The lack of knowledge in characterising the epidemiology and pathophysiology of the infection has affected the vaccine discovery too. Consequently, positive incidences APV in many studies have signified the spread of this virus worldwide. This resulted in substantial economic deficits and psychological distress for aviculturists and pet store owners every year. Hence the demand of preventing the virus infection and minimising its impact are increasing rapidly.

Virus neutralisation techniques as well as immunodiffusion have been utilised to establish antipolyomavirus antibodies in psittacine birds since the 1980s. Several types of conventional adjuvants were evaluated to identify their effect on the immunogenicity of inactivated APV, which includes Equimune, E-3, Acemannan and mineral oil (Ritchie et al., 1994). The adjuvants were however found to cause muscular necrosis, and the oil-adjuvanted vaccines were correlated to death of the treated samples (Ritchie et al., 1998).

The introduction of inactivated APV vaccine by Biomune Company (USA) was proposed to be instrumental to cease the outbreaks of APV disease in 9 parrot nurseries (Phalen, 1998; Ritchie et al., 1998). The utilisation of the virus vaccine approved by USDA was reported to halt the mortality in each aviary within 4 weeks twice vaccinations. The inactivated avian polyomavirus vaccine safety was evaluated in 1823 psittacine birds from more than 80 species (Ritchie et al., 1998). The study has also shown that the APV vaccine is
immunogenic and efficacious in mature and immature psittacines. The example of current vaccine available is Psittimune® APV by Creative Science (USA), which contains antigenic isolate of APV and adjuvant for enhanced response to vaccination. Primary immunization is given to young birds at 5 weeks of age, and the second dose is given after 2-3 weeks after the initial one.

In term of prevention, management practice itself is adequate to avert the introduction of APV into a nursery (Phalen et al., 2000). Up to now, there is no known treatment for APV disease. While vaccination is available, this option may cost as much as USD40-60 per bird and booster shots are essential each year. Certain psittacine species are still susceptible to the virus infection. Most of the adult psittacines are rarely to develop the disease, as the exposure towards the virus at heightened natural body immunity in correlation with age. Besides, the effectiveness of the vaccine in younger birds is in question, as the nestlings would still be having a risk of being exposed to the APV infection before they complete the full vaccination.

CONCLUSION

While typical infection of APV occurs in a well-fleshed juvenile and just before fledgling age, most of adult birds can act as a carrier of APV without showing any clinical warnings to other susceptible birds around them. Therefore, containment of the virus is relatively difficult. An advisable method of prevention would be to isolate the young birds until they complete their full vaccination. Clinical diagnosis and molecular analysis from swabs and faecal samples can also be done routinely to verify the circulation of this virus.
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