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SUMMARY

An adult female Elephant Trunk Snake (Acrochordus javanicus) was reported to have been weak and inappetent for five days. The following morning the snake found dead, while in the process of shedding its skin. On post mortem examination, there were multiple circumscribed caseous nodules of various sizes distributed all over the liver, along the respiratory tract and on the lungs. Bacteriological analysis of the lungs and liver swab samples yielded Burkholderia pseudomallei, which was confirmed by PCR amplification of specific 16S rRNA. The condition was diagnosed as melioidosis and the organism was genotypically characterized as sequence type 51, a genotype that has been previously characterized in humans in Malaysia. Antibiotic susceptibility by both Disc diffusion or Kirby Bauer and E-test minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) showed that the organism exhibited susceptibility to meropenem, imipenem, ceftazidime, cotrimoxazole and co-amoxycillin; the antibiotics recommended in the treatment of melioidosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Melioidosis, a likely fatal infectious disease of both humans and animals is caused by an environmental (soil and water) dwelling saprophytic bacterium; Burkholderia pseudomallei (Inglis and Sousa, 2009; Currie et al., 2010). The disease was now known to be hyperendemic in some parts of Southeast Asia and northern Australia (Currie et al., 2008). It is believed that the disease is now expanding beyond its traditionally known endemic region to other tropical regions of the world, including the Indian subcontinent, southern China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, Brazil and Malawi (Currie et al., 2008; Katangwe et al., 2013). However it is still unclear whether the infection has been there but hitherto undetected (Dance, 2000). There are unconfirmed reports of new cases in South Africa and the Middle East, while some imported cases are described in several temperate countries (Dance, 2000). Transmission of the disease, in both humans and animals, are believed to occur most often via traumatic skin inoculation and through ingestion or inhalation of contaminated soil and water (White, 2003). There are evidences of infections acquired following near drowning events and rarely from sexual transmission (McCormick et al., 1975; Pruekprasert and Jitsurong, 1991; Cheng and Currie, 2005; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2009). Emergences of melioidosis related to travelling and importation of cases have been observed in developed countries of the world (Currie et al., 2008). Virtually all organs of the body in both humans and animals can be infected by the disease (Puthucheary and Vadivelu, 2002; Sprague and Neubauer, 2004). The clinical spectrum of melioidosis may range from indolent localised infection to fulminating septicaemia (Currie et al., 2000). Treatment of melioidosis can be done usually by antibiotic chemotherapy to improve patients’ condition and disease control (Cheng and Currie, 2005). There are two distinct phases of antibiotic treatments used to treat melioidosis: (a) the acute septicaemic phase of the disease or intensive phase, using the cephalosporin, ceftazidime and carbapenems, meropenem and imipenem and (b) the subsequent eradication phase treatment using trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (cotrimoxazole) (Inglis, 2010). Due to the organisms’ high level of intrinsic resistance against many common clinically available antibiotics, antibiotic treatment of this infection is proving difficult (Simpson et al., 1999). Melioidosis fatality rate may range from 20 to 40% even with expeditious diagnosis and prompt and vigorous antibiotic treatment (Schweizer, 2012). Melioidosis is a disease of public health significance and its public health implications have been previously reviewed (Inglis and Sousa, 2009). Previously it has been shown that importations of animals with melioidosis into areas that have been known to be free from the disease have resulted in outbreaks and subsequent persistence of B. pseudomallei in the contaminated soil (Galimand and Dodin, 1982). It has been known that human to human transmission of this disease is rare; anecdotal evidences of zoonotic transmission of the organism from animal to human do exist. Animals with melioidosis may shed the B. pseudomallei via bodily discharges with consequent increase in the risk of direct animal to animal, or animal to human disease transmission (Idris et al., 1998; Choy et al., 2000; Currie, 2010). Melioidosis has been previously reported in camels (Forbes-Faulkner et al., 1992), alpacas (Janmaat et al., 2004), swine (Najdenski et al., 2004), captive whales and dolphins (Hicks et al., 2000), deer (Sriwakkheaw and Lawhavinit, 2007), feline (O’Brien et al., 2003), canine and wild avian (Ouadah et al., 2007) and pet iguana (Iguana iguana) (Zehnder et al., 2014). There has been no published report of cases of melioidosis in snakes. Therefore this paper presents a case of fatal melioidosis in a captive Elephant trunk snake (Acrochordus javanicus) in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia and phylogenetic assessment of the aetiological agent.
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CASE REPORT

History

An adult female Elephant Trunk Snake (Acrochordus javanicus), 159 cm in length, that has been housed in a specially made plastic aquarium in a private facility in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia was reported to have been weak and inappetent for about four to five days. In the morning, the snake was found dead while in the process of shedding its skin.

Post-mortem and Histopathology findings

Post-mortem examination of the carcass was carried out, the body condition score of the snake was estimated to be 3/5, although the snake has naturally loose skins and folds, there was evidence of exoskeletal shedding. Necropsy findings showed no pathological lesions along the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), however the GIT was found to be empty with presence of yellowish mucus. There were multiple circumscribed caseous nodules of various sizes scattered all over the liver, respiratory tract and lungs. These circumscribed protruding caseous nodules were particularly more pronounced in the lungs and when the surface of the lungs was cut, there was the presence of a cheesy exudate and numerous nodular structures (Figure 1).

Lung biopsy and tissue swabs of both the lungs and liver were taken using sterile swab and sent to histopathology and bacteriology laboratories respectively. The lung biopsy was sent to the histopathology laboratory of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine (FPV, UPM) in 10% buffered formalin where it was processed, sectioned and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stain for light microscopic examination of lesions at various magnifications (100× and 200×).

The histopathological lesions observed varied from an immature to matured granuloma formation with a central area of necrosis containing pus, tissue debris and calcium deposits with calcified wall. Leucocytic infiltration in the interstitium and connective tissue proliferation around the granuloma were also observed. Figures 2 to 5 below showed the varying degrees of lesions observed in the melioidosis positive elephant snake’s lungs.

Bacteriological examination

The swab samples were sent to bacteriology laboratory of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). Following primary culture and subculture on Blood and MacConkey agar, screening was done based on Gram staining reaction, colony morphology, positive culture on Ashdown’s agar, and oxidase and catalase tests. Presumptive identification of this organism was based on its appearance as bipolar...
organisms, oxidase positive, catalase negative, Gram negative bacilli with characteristic colonies on Ashdown’s agar that were purple, flat, dry and wrinkled according to (Chantratita et al., 2007). Pure colonies were obtained from the subculture and nucleic acid was extracted using Qiagen DNeasy (Qiagen, Germany) bacterial DNA extraction kit, used according to manufacturer’s instructions. Confirmation of the isolate as B. Pseudomallei was done by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of 600bp gene fragment using B. pseudomallei specific 16S rRNA region primers (PPM3- forward primer) 5’- AATCATTCTGGCTAATACCCG-3’ and (PPM4- reverse primer) 5’-CGGTTCTCTTTCGAGCTCG-3’ obtained from a previous study by Brook et al. (1997).

PCR amplification was confirmed by gel electrophoresis using 1.5% agarose gel (Promega, USA) electrophoresis in 1X TBE buffer at 90Volts for 1 hour and visualised using ethidium bromide staining under UV illumination (Figure 6).

Molecular characterisation of the B. pseudomallei isolate from the snake

Genetic typing of the isolate was done by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) by PCR amplification of the seven housekeeping genes according to Godoy et al. (2003). PCR products were confirmed by gel electrophoresis using 1.5% agarose gel (Promega, USA) electrophoresis in 1X TBE buffer at 90Volts for 1 hour and visualised using ethidium bromide staining under UV illumination. The PCR products of each of the seven housekeeping genes were purified using MEGAquick-spin (iNtRON Biotechnology, Korea) purification kits according to manufacturer’s instructions. The purified PCR products were sequenced by Sanger sequencing method, using the same primers that were used for the initial PCR amplification. The sequence for each gene fragments were aligned and trimmed to the appropriate size for each locus before queried on the MLST database to get the allele number. The housekeeping genes and their corresponding allele numbers are ace3, gltB1, gmhD2, lepA3, lipA1, narkK4 and ndh3 these combination of alleles were queried in this order on the MLST profile query to obtain the B. pseudomallei isolate’s sequence type as 51 (ST51).

Antibiotic susceptibility test (AST)

Antibiotic susceptibility by both Disc diffusion or Kirby Bauer (12 antibiotics) and E-test minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (five antibiotics) evaluator strip antibiotics methods were conducted. Disc diffusion test showed that the isolate was susceptible to meropenem, imipenem, ceftazidime, doxycycline,
Histopathologically, the snake lungs revealed the presence of immature to matured granuloma formation, central areas of necrosis containing pus, tissue debris and calcium deposits around the granuloma wall, with leucoeryt infiltration in the interstitium. Hicks et al. (2000), has described the typical histopathological lesions of melioidosis regardless of the tissue type as a focal necrosis, hemorrhage, fibrin exudation, microabscesses with variable accumulation of polymorphonuclear neutrophils mainly distributed in the lungs, liver and spleen.

The definitive diagnosis of melioidosis is isolation and identification of the causative agent (Leeprasamee and Bovornkitti, 1989; Limmathurotsakul et al., 2010). In this case, both the lung and liver swabs yielded an organism that was phenotypically, biochemically and molecularly identified as B. pseudomallei. Dance et al. (1989) and Walsh and Wuthichakan (1996), have described that this organism can simply be identified by its colonial morphology on Ashdown’s medium, biochemical profile and antibiotic susceptibility patterns. Several genetic identification techniques are now employed as an alternative or complementary identification method to established phenotypic methods. In this case, identification of B. pseudomallei targeting the 16SrRNA gene fragment specific for this organism was used. This PCR based test was proven to be a more sensitive method than culture and it is a useful confirmatory test in determining the identity of isolates where conventional biochemical tests gave ambiguous results (Brook et al., 1997).

The environmental saprophyte B. pseudomallei have been known to be biogeographically and phylogenetically variable. Several previous studies have suggested biogeographical clustering of B. pseudomallei strains and genotypes (Vesarratchavest et al., 2006; Currie et al., 2007; Pearson et al., 2009; Dale et al., 2011; McRobb et al., 2014). In this case, we characterised the isolate from the snake using MLST which showed it to be B. pseudomallei ST51. Currently there are 66 ST51 isolates on the MLST database, whereby over 88% were reported from melioidosis cases in humans (Godoy et al., 2003) and from water (McCombie et al., 2006), mainly from Thailand, Malaysia, Singapore and Cambodia. The source of the infection cannot be ascertained because we could not get the clients cooperation to get water sample which we thought could be the source of the infection. However this type of snakes are naturally aquatic, the disease might have been acquired before captivity in its natural environment. Being the predominantly found ST in Malaysia, eBURST algorithm of B. pseudomallei ST51 has shown that this ST was resolved into the major Malaysian clonal complex 50 (CC50) with ST50 as the complex predicted founder. When compared with the global deposited B. pseudomallei isolates, B. pseudomallei ST51 was found to belong to the major Southeast Asian CC48 as double locus variant (DLV) to the CC founder ST48. This ST being previously isolated from human cases signifies the public health implications of the B. pseudomallei isolates with ST51. This can be attributed to the fact that animals with melioidosis might be shedding the organism via external wound exudates and other bodily secretions such as nasal, milk, faeces and urine, thereby contaminating the environment and increasing the area of necrosis.
risk of bacterial transmission to humans and other animals (Idris et al., 1998; Choy et al., 2000; Currie, 2010). This was evidenced by an outbreak of melioidosis from an importation of infected animals with subsequent environmental contamination and persistence of infection in a zoo in Paris, France (Dodin, 1992; Dodin and Galimand, 1986).

*Burkholderia pseudomallei* is naturally resistant to a variety of antibiotics that include most penicillins, all narrow-spectrum cephalosporins, all macrodilides, all polymyxins, and the aminoglycosides (Livermore, 1987; Moore et al., 1999; Sam et al., 2009). This intrinsic ability of the organism to resist antimicrobial agents makes the treatment of melioidosis difficult. The treatment of melioidosis is divided into two phases; the acute or intensive and eradication phases (Lipsitz et al., 2012). Antibiotic treatment of melioidosis is often prolonged, cost intensive and often unsuccessful if not properly implemented (Choy et al., 2000). Due to the risks of contamination of the environment with body secretions and discharges from infected animals, treatment of animals with melioidosis is not usually recommended. Furthermore, the optimum doses and regimen of antibiotics for treatment of melioidosis has not yet been ascertained. Infected animals are usually destroyed by incineration (FAO, 2004).

Because of the natural resistance of this bacterium to antibiotics, antibiotic susceptibility testing is recommended. In this case, antibiotic susceptibility testing was done using both disc diffusion and E-test MIC evaluation tests. The disc diffusion test showed that the *B. pseudomallei* isolate was susceptible to meropenem, imipenem, ceftazidime, doxycycline, chloramphenicol, ceftriaxone, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin and cotrimoxazole. The susceptibility of *B. pseudomallei* to these antibiotics was consistent with several previous works (Jenney et al., 2001; Ahmad et al., 2013; Bandeira et al., 2013; Khosravi et al., 2014). On the other hand, the isolates in this study showed resistance to aztreonam, gentamycin and ticarcillin. In a previous study, Cheng and Currie (2005), described that *B. pseudomallei* was resistant to first, second, and third-generation cephalosporins; penicillins and polymyxin B, while natural resistance to aminoglycosides was described by Moore et al. (1999). The five drugs that are involved in the treatment of melioidosi are ceftazidime or carbapenem (either meropenem or imipenem), used in the intensive phase treatment and cotrimoxazole in the eradication phase treatment with co-amoxycillin as its substitute (Lipsitz et al., 2012). In this case, the isolate from the elephant trunk snake was susceptible to meropenem, imipenem, ceftazidime, cotrimoxazole and co-amoxyclyav by E-test MIC method. This outcome further upholds the current recommendation of the workshop on antibiotic treatment and post-exposure prophylaxis of *B. pseudomallei* and *B. mallei* in 2010 (Lipsitz et al., 2012).

Having diagnosed the condition, the client’s attention was drawn on the potential risk of this disease to public health and the need to take personal protective measures. Immediate disinfection of the premises of the snake with 10% solution of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or 5% formaldehyde was recommended, while the snake carcass was incinerated. It can be concluded that *B. pseudomallei* can cause a fatal melioidosis in elephant trunk snakes. The gross and histopathological lesions showed a circumscribed pus containing granuloma. Bacterial isolation and characterisation identified an organism with an ST51 similar to what was previously reported in human cases of melioidosis. The organism was susceptible to antibiotics recommended for treatment of melioidosis. Personal protective measures and disinfection of the premises have been recommended.
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Pseudomonas pseudomallei and periorchitis caused by Pseudomonas pseudomallei were described in a veterinary context. The epidemicology and clinical spectrum of melioidosis, a disease caused by Pseudomonas pseudomallei, is discussed in detail. The disease is found in tropical and subtropical regions, with outbreaks reported in captive marine mammals and sheep in Malaysia.

The identification of Pseudomonas pseudomallei in clinical samples is crucial for accurate diagnosis. Simple screening tests and API 20NE are used to differentiate it from other bacterial species. Genetic characterization, such as multilocus enzyme electrophoresis, is applied to understand the diversity of the bacteria.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing is essential for appropriate treatment. Studies on the antibiotic resistance of Pseudomonas pseudomallei, including efflux-mediated resistance, have been conducted. Resistance genes are spread through lateral gene transfer, affecting treatment outcomes.

Epidemiological tracking and population assignment of the bacteria help in understanding transmission patterns. The use of melioidosis Bayesian latent class models provides insights into data analysis.

Melioidosis outbreaks, such as in imported primates in Britain, have been reported. The disease affects humans and animals, and its zoonotic potential underscores the need for cross-species surveillance.

In summary, melioidosis is a complex infectious disease that requires a multidisciplinary approach for its prevention and management. Further research is needed to advance our understanding of this pathogen and its impact on global public health.